EN530.678 Nonlinear Control and Planning in Robotics Lecture 7: Stabilizability and Chained Forms March 9, 2022

Prof: Marin Kobilarov

1 Introduction

The role of distributions and controllability:

- distributions determine possible directions of motion
- nonlinear controllability determines which states can be reached
- motion planning employs these structural properties to generate trajectories
- trajectory tracking processes feedback to follow these trajectories

today's slides adapted from G. Oriolo with permission

2 Stabilizability of Nonholonomic Systems

Given a nonlinear control system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u)$$

our goal is to construct a control law

$$u = k(x)$$

which accomplishes:

- stabilization: an equilibrium point x_e is made asymptotically stable, or
- tracking: a desired feasible trajectory $x_d(t)$ is asymptotically stable
- the linear approximation of the system at x_e is

$$\dot{\delta x} = A\delta x + B\delta u$$
 $\delta x = x - x_e, \delta u = u - u_e,$

where $A \triangleq \partial_x f(x_e, u_e), B \triangleq \partial_u f(x_e, u_e)$

- if the linearized system is controllable, then the nonlinear system can be locally smoothly stabilized at x_e using a feedback law $\delta u = K \delta x$
- recall that the linear system is controllable if

$$rank([B \ AB \ \cdots \ A^{n-1}B]) = n$$

• for driftless (kinematic) models $\dot{q} = G(q)u$ the linear approximation around x_e has always uncontrollable eigenvalues at zero since

A = 0 and $\operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} G(q_e) = m \le n$

• Necessary conditions by *Brockett's Theorem*: If the system

 $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$

is locally asymptotically C^1 -stabilizable at $x_e = 0$ then the image of the map

 $f:\mathbb{R}^n\times U\to\mathbb{R}^n$

contains some neighborhood of x_e . More formally, $\exists \delta > 0$, s.t. $\forall \|\xi\| \leq \delta, \exists x, u$ such that $f(x, u) = \xi$.

• For the special case

$$\dot{x} = g_1(x)u_1 + \dots + g_m(x)u_m$$

with linearly independent control vectors g_i and

$$\operatorname{rank}\{[g_1(x_e), ..., g_m(x_e)]\} = m$$

the system is asymptotically C^1 -stabilizable at x_e if and only if $m \ge n$

- Therefore, nonholonomic mechanical systems cannot be stabilized at a point by smooth feedback
- The alternatives are: 1) time-varying feedback; 2) non-smooth (e.g. switching) feedback

3 Steering methods for chained forms (optional material)

3.1 Overview

- the objective is to build a sequence of open-loop input commands that steer the system from q_i to q_f satisfying the nonholonomic constraints
- the degree of nonholonomy gives a good measure of the complexity of the steering algorithm
- there exist canonical model structures for which the steering problem can be solved efficiently
 - chained form
 - power form
 - Chaplygin form
- interest in the transformation of the original model equation into one of these forms
- such model structures allow also a simpler design of feedback stabilizers (necessarily, non-smooth or time-varying)
- we limit the analysis to the case of systems with two inputs, where the three above forms are equivalent (via a coordinate transformation)

3.2 Chained Forms [Murray and Sastry 1993]

• a (2, n) chained form is a two-input driftless control system

$$\dot{z} = g_1(z)v_1 + g_2(z)v_2$$

in the following form

$$\begin{array}{l} \dot{z}_{1} = v_{1} \\ \dot{z}_{2} = v_{2} \\ \dot{z}_{3} = z_{2}v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{z}_{n} = z_{n-1}v_{1} \end{array}$$

• denoting the repeated Lie brackets as $\operatorname{ad}_{g_1}^k g_2$

$$\mathrm{ad}_{g_1}g_2 = [g_1, g_2], \qquad \mathrm{ad}_{g_1}^k g_2 = [g_1, \mathrm{ad}_{g_1}^{k-1}g_2]$$

one has

$$g_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ z_{2} \\ z_{3} \\ \vdots \\ z_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \operatorname{ad}_{g_{1}}^{k} g_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{k} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in which $(-1)^k$ is the (k+2)-th entry.

• a one-chain system is completely nonholonomic (controllable) since the n vectors

$$\{g_1, g_2, ..., ad_{g_1}^i g_2, ...\}, \quad i = 1, ..., n-2$$

are independent

- its degree of nonholonomy is k = n 1
- v_1 is called the *generating input*, z_1 and z_2 are called base variables
- if v_1 is (piecewise) constant, the system in chained form behaves like a (piecewise) linear system
- chained systems are a generalization of first- and second-order controllable systems for which sinusoidal steering from z_i to z_f minimizes the integral norm of the input
- different input commands can be used, e.g.
 - sinusoidal inputs
 - piecewise constant inputs
 - polynomial inputs

3.3 Steering with polynomial inputs

- idea similar to piecewise constant input, but with improved smoothness properties w.r.t. time (remember that kinematic models are controlled at the (pseudo)velocity level)
- the controls are chosen as

$$v_1 = \frac{z_{f1} - z_{01}}{T},$$

$$v_2 = c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_{n-2} t^{n-2}$$

where T is desired final time and $c_0, ..., c_n$ obtained solving the linear system coming from the closed-form integration of the model

$$M(T) \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} + m(z_0, T) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{f2} \\ z_{f3} \\ \vdots \\ z_{fn} \end{pmatrix}$$

with M(T) nonsingular for $T \neq 0$.

• if $z_{f1} = z_{01}$ and intermediate point must be added

Example 1. Unicycle: consider the following change of coordinates

$$z_1 = x$$
$$z_2 = \tan \theta$$
$$z_3 = y.$$

and input variables

$$u_1 = v_1 / \cos \theta$$
$$u_2 = v_2 \cos^2 \theta.$$

The new equivalent system becomes

$$\dot{z}_1 = v_1$$

 $\dot{z}_2 = v_2$
 $\dot{z}_3 = z_2 v_1,$

Assume that the system must move between two configurations which we epxress in terms of the new coordinates by (z_{01}, z_{02}, z_{03}) (initial) and (z_{f1}, z_{f2}, z_{f3}) (final).

To satisfy the first coordinate we set

$$v_1 = \frac{z_{f1} - z_{01}}{T}, \qquad v_2 = c_0 + c_1 t,$$

where c_0, c_1 are unknowns. After integrating \dot{z}_2 we have

$$z_2(t) = z_{02} + c_0 t + \frac{1}{2}c_1 t^2$$

from which after integrating \dot{z}_3 we get

$$z_3(t) = z_{03} + v_1 \left(z_{02}t + \frac{1}{2}c_0t^2 + \frac{1}{6}c_1t^3 \right)$$

Now we can solve for c_0, c_1 the relationships

$$z_2(T) = z_{f2}, \qquad z_3(T) = z_{f3}$$

which is equivalent to the relationship

$$\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}T & \frac{1}{2}T^2\\ v_1\frac{T^2}{2} & v_1\frac{T^3}{6}\end{array}\right]}_{M(T)}\left[\begin{array}{c}c_0\\ c_1\end{array}\right] + \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}z_{02}\\ z_{03}+v_1z_{02}T\end{array}\right]}_{m(z_0,T)} = \left[\begin{array}{c}z_{f2}\\ z_{f3}\end{array}\right]$$

and so the coefficients are found as

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \end{bmatrix} = M(T)^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} z_{f2} \\ z_{f3} \end{bmatrix} - m(z_0, T) \right).$$

- Sinusoidal inputs: a two-phase process
 - Phase 1: steer base variables z_1 and z_2 to their desired values z_{f1} and z_{f2}
 - Phase 2: choose

$$v_1 = a_0 + \sin \omega t$$

$$v_2 = b_0 + \cos \omega t + \dots + b_{n-2} \cos(n-2)\omega t,$$

and solve numerically for the n + 1 unknowns in terms of the boundary conditions

- piece-wise constant controls
 - subdivide total time T into subintervals of length δ

$$v_1(\tau) = v_{1,k}$$

 $v_2(\tau) = v_{2,k}$, $\tau \in [(k-1)\delta, k\delta]$

- it is convenient to set $v_1 = \text{constant} \Rightarrow \text{then the unknowns}$

 $v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}, \dots, v_{2,n-1}$

are found by solving a triangular linear system

3.4 Chained Form Transformation

Define the distributions

$$\Delta_0 = \operatorname{span}\{g_1, g_2, \operatorname{ad}_{g_1}g_2, ..., \operatorname{ad}_{g_1}^{n-2}g_2\}$$

$$\Delta_1 = \operatorname{span}\{g_2, \operatorname{ad}_{g_1}g_2, ..., \operatorname{ad}_{g_1}^{n-2}g_2\}$$

$$\Delta_2 = \operatorname{span}\{g_2, \operatorname{ad}_{g_1}g_2, ..., \operatorname{ad}_{g_1}^{n-3}g_2\}$$

If, for some open set, one has (i) $\dim \Delta_0 = n$, (ii) Δ_1, Δ_2 are involutive, (iii) there exists a function h_1 such that

$$dh_1 \cdot \Delta_1 = 0 \qquad dh_1 \cdot g_1 = 1$$

then the system can be transformed into chained form

the change of coordinates is given by

$$z_1 = h_1$$

$$z_2 = L_{g_1}^{n-2} h_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_{n-1} = L_{g_1} h_2$$

$$z_n = h_2$$

with h_2 independent from h_1 and such that $dh_2 \cdot \Delta_2 = 0$ the input transformation is given by

$$v_1 = u_1 \tag{1}$$

$$v_2 = (L_{g_1}^{n-1}h_2)u_1 + (L_{g_2}L_{g_1}^{n-2}h_2)u_2$$
(2)